arXiv:1409.3790v1 [math.NT] 12 Sep 2014

RATIONALITY AND POWER

SAM CHOW AND BIN WEI

Abstract. We produce an infinite family of transcendental numbers which, when raised to their own power, become rational. We extend the method, to investigate positive rational solutions to the equation $x^x = \alpha$, where α is a fixed algebraic number. We then explore the consequences of $x^{P(x)}$ being rational, if x is rational and $P(x)$ is a fixed integer polynomial.

1. Introduction

A famous application of the *principle of excluded middle* quickly demonstrates the existence of irrational real numbers c and d such that c^d is rational. Indeed, if $A = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$ is irrational then we may choose $c = A$ and $d = \sqrt{2}$, while if $A \in \mathbb{Q}$ then we may choose $c = d = \sqrt{2}$. It may interest the reader to note that A is transcendental, by the Gelfond-Schneider theorem [7, Theorem 10.1].

Theorem (Gelfond, Schneider)**.** *Let a and b be algebraic numbers with $a \notin \{0, 1\}$ and $b \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then a^b is transcendental.*

Does there exist $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ such that $x^x \in \mathbb{Q}$? As irrational powers are hard to understand, it is not immediately apparent that this problem admits a short, elementary solution. One can overcome the difficulty by using the value of x^x to study x. In fact the equation

$$x^x = 2$$

has an irrational solution, since it has a real solution but no rational solution. We shall see that if $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and $x^x \in \mathbb{Q}$ then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, any rational number $q > 1$ that is not of the shape n^n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) corresponds to an irrational number $x > 1$ such that $x^x = q$. By the Gelfond-Schneider theorem, any such x is an fact transcendental. In particular, there are infinitely many transcendental real numbers $x > 1$ such that $x^x \in \mathbb{Q}$.

All of the above is fairly straightforward, with the exception of the Gelfond-Schneider theorem, and these ideas have been well probed by online denizens. We generalise by considering positive rational solutions to the equation

$$x^x = \alpha, \tag{1.1}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11A99, 11D61, 11J72, 11J81.

Key words and phrases. Elementary number theory, exponential equations, irrationality, transcendence.

The authors thank Trevor Wooley for useful suggestions, and Adam Morgan for a historical remark. The second author is grateful to the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for supporting his studies in the United Kingdom.

where α is a fixed algebraic number. We show that if α is an algebraic integer then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. If α is not an algebraic integer, then we are nonetheless able to bound the denominator of x in terms of the degree of α. Our results provide an algorithm to determine all positive rational solutions to (1.1). We then show that if (1.1) has a positive rational solution then the minimal polynomial of α has the shape $sX^d - r$ for some $r, s, d \in \mathbb{N}$. This leads to an alternate solution to the problem.

Eq. (1.1) may have more than one positive rational solution, for instance

$$(1/2)^{1/2} = (1/4)^{1/4}.$$

It can have at most two solutions, however, since $x \mapsto x^x$ is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1/e]$ and strictly increasing on $[1/e, \infty)$. All positive rational solutions to the equation

$$x^x = y^y \tag{1.2}$$

are given by

$$x = \Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^m, \qquad y = \Big(\frac{m}{m+1}\Big)^{m+1} \qquad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

This follows easily from a classical result describing all solutions to

$$x^y = y^x. \tag{1.3}$$

Indeed, we may rearrange to see that the positive rational solutions to (1.2) are precisely the reciprocals of the positive rational solutions to (1.3).

Bennett and Reznick [1] provide an impressive account of the history of Eq. (1.3), which goes back to a letter from Bernoulli to Goldbach [2]. Bennett and Reznick credit Flechsenhaar [3] as the first author to determine all positive rational solutions with proof. An industry has since developed to study equations of this type [1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10]. Our only addition to the historical discussion in [1] is to remark that Ko and Sun worked on similar problems (see [9, pp. 113–114]).

Eq. (1.1) may be considered as a variant of the problem of investigating positive rational solutions x to $P(x^x) = 0$, for a given polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Our methods allow us to draw analogous conclusions when $x^{P(x)} \in \mathbb{Q}$. If P is monic then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, while if P is not monic then we are nonetheless able to bound the denominator of x in terms of the leading coefficient of P.

2. Details

If p is prime and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write $p^a || n$ if p^a divides n but p^{a+1} does not divide n. We write $[x]$ for the integer part of x. We denote by $[b_1, \dots, b_s]$ the lowest common multiple of $b_1, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, put $e(x) := e^{2\pi i x}$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, we write (a, b) for the greatest common divisor of a and b.

The following lemma is crucial to our analysis.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x, y, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, b) = 1$, and suppose $x^a = y^b$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x = \lambda^b$ and $y = \lambda^a$.*

Proof. Note that x and y have the same prime factors. To each such factor p we assign positive integers $\alpha = \alpha_p$, $\beta = \beta_p$ and X_p as follows. Let $p^\beta \| x$ and $p^\alpha \| y$. Then $\alpha/\beta = a/b$, so $b|\beta$. Write $\beta = bX_p$, and note that $\alpha = aX_p$.

Put $\lambda = \prod_{p|y} p^{X_p}$. Now

$$x = \prod_{p|x} p^{\beta_p} = \prod_{p|x} p^{bX_p} = \lambda^b$$

and

$$y = \prod_{p|y} p^{\alpha_p} = \prod_{p|y} p^{aX_p} = \lambda^a.$$

□

Our initial assertions now follow easily.

Theorem 2.2. *Let x be a positive rational number, and suppose $x^x \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Put $x = a/b$, for relatively prime positive integers a and b. Let $x^x = m/n$, where m and n are relatively prime positive integers. Now

$$\frac{a^a}{b^a} = \frac{m^b}{n^b}.$$

As $(a, b) = (m, n) = 1$, we must have $b^a = n^b$. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b = \lambda^b$. This is only possible if $b = \lambda = 1$. □

Corollary 2.3. *Let $q > 1$ be a rational number such that $n^n = q$ has no solution $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a transcendental real number x such that $x^x = q$.*

Proof. By the intermediate value theorem, there exists a real number $x > 1$ such that $x^x = q$. The hypotheses on q ensure that x is not an integer, so Theorem 2.2 gives $x \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Now the Gelfond-Schneider theorem implies that x is transcendental. □

We turn our attention to (1.1). Note that if α is not a positive real algebraic number then (1.1) will have no solutions $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let α be an algebraic number of degree d. Suppose (1.1) has a solution $x = a/b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, b) = 1$. If α is an algebraic integer then $b = 1$. Otherwise $b \geqslant 2$ and*

$$\frac{b}{\log b} \leqslant \frac{d}{\log 2}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. From (1.1) we have

$$(a/b)^a = \alpha^b.$$

Applying the norm $\mathrm{Nm}_{\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q}}$ gives

$$(a/b)^{ad} = (r/s)^b,$$

where the minimal polynomial of α over $\mathbb{Z}$ has leading coefficient $s > 0$ and constant coefficient $\pm r$. As $(a, b) = (r, s) = 1$, we must have $b^{ad} = s^b$. Now

by Lemma 2.1 there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b^d = \lambda^b$ and $s = \lambda^a$. If α is an algebraic integer then $s = 1$, so $\lambda = 1$ and hence $b = 1$.

If α is not an algebraic integer then $s > 1$, so $\lambda \geqslant 2$. We must also have $b \geqslant 2$, so

$$\frac{b}{\log b} = \frac{d}{\log \lambda} \leqslant \frac{d}{\log 2}.$$

□

Note that (2.1) implicitly bounds b in terms of d. It is sharp, in some sense, because equality is attained when $x = 1/2$. We can use (2.1) to deduce an explicit but weaker bound.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $b \geqslant 2$ and d be integers satisfying* (2.1). *Then*

$$b < 4d \log d. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. We note that $x \mapsto x/\log x$ is increasing on $[e, \infty)$. Using this, we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\log 2} < \frac{3}{\log 3} \leqslant \frac{2}{\log 2} \leqslant \frac{n}{\log n} \qquad (n = 4, 5, \ldots)$$

Now from (2.1) we have

$$d \geqslant \frac{b \log 2}{\log b} > 1.$$

Assume for a contradiction that (2.2) is false. Then $b \geqslant 4d \log d > e$, so

$$\frac{b}{\log b} \geqslant \frac{4d \log d}{\log(4d \log d)} = \frac{d}{\log 2} \cdot \frac{\log(d^{4 \log 2})}{\log(4d \log d)} > \frac{d}{\log 2},$$

contradicting (2.1). This contradiction establishes (2.2). □

Based on Theorems 2.2 and 2.4, as well as Lemma 2.5, we now outline an algorithm to determine all positive rational solutions to (1.1). Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be an algebraic number of degree d.

(1) For $x = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, determine whether $x^x < \alpha$, $x^x = \alpha$ or $x^x > \alpha$. Stop as soon as $x^x \geqslant \alpha$. The number of calculations needed here is

$$N \leqslant \max(3, 1 + \log \alpha).$$

If $d = 1$ then stop.

(2) Put

$$B = [4d \log d].$$

For $b = 2, \ldots, B$ and $a = 1, 2, \ldots, Nb$, test $x = a/b$ in (1.1). The number of tests required is at most

$$NB^2 = O(d^2 (\log d)^2 \log(2 + \alpha)),$$

with an absolute implicit constant.

If we know the minimal polynomial of α over $\mathbb{Z}$, then a good alternative procedure exists. We shall show that if (1.1) has a positive rational solution then this minimal polynomial has the shape

$$P(X) = sX^d - r, \tag{2.3}$$

for some $r, s, d \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_s$ be distinct primes, and let $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_s, b_s)$ be pairs of relatively prime integers, where $a_i \neq 0$ and $b_i > 0$ $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$. Put $L = [b_1, \dots, b_s]$. Then*

$$Q(X) := X^L - p_1^{a_1 L/b_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s L/b_s}$$

is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Factorising $Q(X)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ gives

$$Q(X) = \prod_{j=0}^{L-1} (X - z_j),$$

where $z_j = p_1^{a_1/b_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s/b_s} e(j/L)$ $(0 \leqslant j \leqslant L-1)$. Assume for a contradiction that $Q(X)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists a nonempty proper subset J of $\{0, 1, \dots, L-1\}$ such that the polynomial $\prod_{j \in J}(X - z_j)$ has rational coefficients. In particular,

$$\prod_{j \in J} z_j \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let $k = \#J$, where $0 < k < L$. Now

$$p_1^{a_1 k/b_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s k/b_s} e\Big(\sum_{j \in J} j/L\Big) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

This forces $e\big(\sum_{j \in J} j/L\big)$ to equal ± 1. Now b_i divides k $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$, so L divides k. This contradiction confirms the irreducibility of $Q(X)$. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, b) = 1$. Write*

$$a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s}, \qquad b = q_1^{\beta_1} \dots q_t^{\beta_t},$$

where $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$, the primes $p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_t$ are distinct, and the α_i, β_j are positive integers. Put

$$g = \gcd(b, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_s).$$

Then the minimal polynomial of $(a/b)^{a/b}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ is

$$P(X) = b^{a/g} X^{b/g} - a^{a/g}.$$

Proof. Let $y = (a/b)^{a/b}$. Since y is a root of the integer polynomial $P(X)$, and since $(a, b) = 1$, it remains to show that the degree of y is b/g. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} y &= p_1^{\alpha_1 a/b} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s a/b} q_1^{-\beta_1 a/b} \cdots q_t^{-\beta_t a/b} \\ &= p_1^{a_1/b_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s/b_s} q_1^{-c_1/d_1} \cdots q_t^{-c_t/d_t}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_i = \frac{\alpha_i a}{(\alpha_i, b)}, \qquad b_i = \frac{b}{(\alpha_i, b)} \qquad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant s)$$

and

$$c_i = \frac{\beta_i a}{(\beta_i, b)}, \qquad d_i = \frac{b}{(\beta_i, b)} \qquad (1 \leqslant i \leqslant t).$$

By Lemma 2.6, the degree of y is

$$[b_1, \dots, b_s, d_1, \dots, d_t] = b/g.$$

For the last equality, note that if m is a common multiple of $b_1, \dots, b_s, d_1, \dots, d_t$ then $b/(b, m)$ is a common divisor of $b, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t$. □

Theorem 2.7 tells us that if (1.1) has a positive rational solution then the minimal polynomial of α over $\mathbb{Z}$ is given by (2.3) for some $r, s, d \in \mathbb{N}$. From the proof of Theorem 2.4, we see that $r^b = a^{ad}$, and that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\lambda^a = s$. We can therefore solve (1.1) by trying each positive divisor of s as a possible value for λ, since this would then determine a and b. The time taken would be roughly the time needed to prime factorise s.

Finally, we have the following analogy to Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a non-constant polynomial with leading coefficient A. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, b) = 1$, and suppose that*

$$(a/b)^{P(a/b)} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

If $|A| = 1$ then $b = 1$. Otherwise

$$b < 3|A| \log_2 |A|. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. Let $d = \deg P$, and note that $b^d P(a/b) \in \mathbb{Z}$. For some integer $Q = Q(a, b)$ we have

$$b^d P(a/b) = Aa^d + bQ. \tag{2.5}$$

We first suppose that $P(a/b) = 0$. In this case

$$\frac{a^d}{b} = -\frac{Q}{A},$$

so $b \leqslant |A|$, and the result follows. Henceforth $P(a/b) \neq 0$.

Let m and n be relatively prime positive integers such that

$$(a/b)^{P(a/b)} = m/n.$$

As $(a, b) = (m, n) = 1$, we have

$$b^{|b^d P(a/b)|} = \begin{cases} n^{b^d}, & \text{if } P(a/b) > 0 \\ m^{b^d}, & \text{if } P(a/b) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Recalling (2.5) now gives

$$b^{|Aa^d + bQ|/(b^d, Aa^d + bQ)} = \begin{cases} n^{b^d/(b^d, Aa^d + bQ)}, & \text{if } P(a/b) > 0 \\ m^{b^d/(b^d, Aa^d + bQ)}, & \text{if } P(a/b) < 0, \end{cases}$$

so by Lemma 2.1 there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$b = \lambda^{b^d/(b^d, Aa^d + bQ)}.$$

Moreover,

$$(b^d, Aa^d + bQ) \leqslant (b, Aa^d + bQ)^d = (b, A)^d \leqslant |A|^d.$$

If $|A| = 1$ then $b = \lambda^{b^d} \geqslant \lambda^b$, so $\lambda = b = 1$. Next consider $|A| \geqslant 2$. We may assume without loss that $b \geqslant |A|$. Now $\lambda \geqslant 2$, so

$$b \geqslant 2^{(b/|A|)^d} \geqslant 2^{b/|A|}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{b}{\log_2 b} \leqslant |A|. \tag{2.6}$$

Recall that $x \mapsto x/\log x$ is increasing on $[e, \infty)$. Suppose for a contradiction that (2.4) is false. Then $b \geqslant 3|A| \log_2 |A| > e$, so

$$\frac{b}{\log_2 b} \geqslant \frac{3|A| \log_2 |A|}{\log_2(3|A| \log_2 |A|)} = |A| \cdot \frac{\log_2(|A|^3)}{\log_2(3|A| \log_2 |A|)} > |A|,$$

contradicting (2.6). This contradiction establishes (2.4). □

References

[1] M. A. Bennett and B. Reznick, *Positive rational solutions to $x^y = y^{mx}$: a number-theoretic excursion*, Amer. Math. Monthly **111** (2004), no. 1, 13–21.

[2] D. Bernoulli, *Corresp. Math. Phys.*, vol. 2, P.-H. Fuss, ed., St. Pétersbourg, 1843.

[3] A. Flechsenhaar, *Über die Gleichung $x^y = y^x$*, Unterrichts. für Math. **17** (1911), 70–73.

[4] A. Hausner, *Algebraic number fields and the Diophantine equation $m^n = n^m$*, Amer. Math. Monthly **68** (1961), 856–861.

[5] S. Hurwitz, *On the rational solutions of $m^n = n^m$ with $m \neq n$*, Amer. Math. Monthly **74** (1967), 298–300.

[6] M. Laurent, M. Mignotte, and Y. Nesterenko, *Formes linéaires en deux logarithmes et déterminants d'interpolation*, J. Number Theory **55** (1995), 285–321.

[7] I. Niven, *Irrational Numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, no. 11, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1985.

[8] D. Sato, *Algebraic solution of $x^y = y^x$ $(0 < x < y)$*, Proc. Amer Math. Soc. **31** (1972), 316.

[9] Q. Sun, *Some results on Diophantine equations*, Number theory and its applications in China, Contemp. Math. **77,** 113–126, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.

[10] M. Sved, *On the rational solutions of $x^y = y^x$*, Math. Mag. **63** (1990), 30–33.

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, University Walk, Clifton, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom

E-mail address: Sam.Chow@bristol.ac.uk

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, 250100, Jinan, P. R. China

E-mail address: bwei.sdu@gmail.com